

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAURICE GODOY,  
Plaintiff,

v.

EDMUND GERRY BROWN, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [18-cv-06650-HSG](#)

**ORDER SUA SPONTE GRANTING  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, an inmate at Chuckawalla Valley State Prison, filed this *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. For the reasons set forth below, the Court will *sua sponte* grant plaintiff an extension of time to February 14, 2020 to file a second amended complaint.

**DISCUSSION**

On November 26, 2019, the Court dismissed plaintiff's amended complaint with leave to amend, noting that the amended complaint suffered from the same deficiencies as the initial complaint. Dkt. No. 28. Plaintiff was ordered to file a second amended complaint by December 24, 2019, that addressed the identified deficiencies, or this action would be dismissed. Dkt. No. 28 at 6. The deadline has passed and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint. Plaintiff did, however, file a notice of appeal ("NOA") with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, appealing the Court's November 26, 2019 Order.<sup>1</sup> Dkt. No. 30. The NOA does not stay the Court's deadlines. If plaintiff wishes to proceed with this action, he must file a complaint that states cognizable claims. The Court will *sua sponte* grant plaintiff an extension of time to February 14, 2020 to file a second amended complaint that addresses the deficiencies identified in the Court's

---

<sup>1</sup> An order of dismissal with leave to amend is not an appealable decision. *WMX Technologies, Inc. v. Miller*, 104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 1997).


1 November 26, 2019 Order. **Failure to file a proper second amended complaint in the time**  
2 **provided will result in the dismissal of this action without further notice to plaintiff.** If using  
3 the court form complaint, plaintiff must answer all the questions on the form in order for the  
4 action to proceed. Plaintiff is reminded that an amended complaint completely replaces the  
5 previous complaints, *see Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992), and that he may  
6 not incorporate material from the prior complaints by reference. Plaintiff also may not change the  
7 nature of this suit by alleging new, unrelated claims in the second amended complaint. The Clerk  
8 shall include two copies of a blank complaint form with a copy of this order to plaintiff.

9 **CONCLUSION**

10 For the reasons set forth above, the Court *sua sponte* grants plaintiff an extension of time  
11 to February 14, 2020 to file a second amended complaint. **Failure to file a proper second**  
12 **amended complaint in the time provided will result in the dismissal of this action without**  
13 **further notice to plaintiff.** The Clerk shall include two copies of a blank complaint form with a  
14 copy of this order to plaintiff.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: 1/13/2020

17   
18 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28